

# Description of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) from a riparian habitat in the Rila Mountains, Bulgaria

Vlada K. Peneva<sup>1,†</sup>, Stela S. Lazarova<sup>1,‡</sup>, Francesca De Luca<sup>2,§</sup>, Derek J. F Brown<sup>1,|</sup>

**1** Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2 Garagrin Street, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria **2** Plant Protection Institute, National Research Council, Via Amendola 122/D, 70126, Bari, Italy

† <http://zoobank.org/D066DD81-0D99-4117-835C-34CD35BE6F41>

‡ <http://zoobank.org/83C802AD-6631-4008-8E2E-3B8F3ACA1F5C>

§ <http://zoobank.org/730FC9D8-B1BF-4067-858A-2418A3FE90B2>

| <http://zoobank.org/890602DD-8745-4A5A-A31B-9567EA2AAFF5>

Corresponding author: Vlada K. Peneva (vpeneva@ecolab.bas.bg)

---

Academic editor: Sergei Subbotin | Received 6 July 2013 | Accepted 20 August 2013 | Published 9 September 2013

---

<http://zoobank.org/DC6FB8CC-F362-4734-8A80-F711D144DF06>

---

**Citation:** Peneva VK, Lazarova SS, De Luca F, Brown DJF (2013) Description of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) from a riparian habitat in the Rila Mountains, Bulgaria. ZooKeys 330: 1–26. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750

---

## Abstract

A description is provided of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n., a bisexual species associated with wild cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) from the Rila Mountains, Bulgaria. The position of *L. cholevae* sp. n. among other species of the genus was elucidated by using morphological and molecular data. Phylogenetic analyses were performed of D2-D3 expansion domains of the 28S rRNA and the partial ITS1 containing regions by Neighbor-Joining, Maximum Likelihood and Bayesian Inference methods. The species is characterised by a female body length of 6.1–8.1 mm; long odontostyle (106–129 µm); lip region wide (21.5–24 µm) rounded and continuous with the body profile; amphidial pouches short and wide, funnel-shaped; a posteriorly situated guide ring (30–37 µm); normal arrangement of pharyngeal glands, and short bluntly rounded to hemispherical tail. Four juvenile stages indentified, first stage with elongate conoid tail. Males with 2–4 adanal pairs and a row of 11–13 single ventromedian supplements, spicules 96–120 µm long. Based both on morphological and molecular data the new species appeared to be the most similar with a group of species distributed in Europe sharing common charcters such as amphidial fovea, lip region and tail shapes, and having similar odontostyle and body length: *L. poessneckensis*, *L. caespiticola*, *L. macrosoma*, *L. helveticus*, *L. carniolensis* and *L. pius*. An updated list of *Longidorus* species and a partial polytomous keys to the *Longidorus* species with long odontostyle (code A45) and short tail (code H1) are provided.

**Keywords**

D2D3, ITS, Longidoridae, morphology, phylogeny

**Introduction**

Chen et al. (1997) further developed a polytomous key for identification of 103 species known at that time of the genus *Longidorus* Micoletzky, 1925. Subsequently, Loof and Chen (1999) provided codes for another 13 species, two of which were considered as junior synonyms. Recently, another four species, originally described either as *Paralongidorus* (e.g. *P. monegreensis* and *P. milanis*) or *Longidoroides* (*L. spiralis* and *L. boshi*) were transferred to *Longidorus* (Roca 2006, Decraemer and Coomans 2007). Andrásy (2009) provided a list of the species belonging to the genus, noting that 69 species were registered to occur in Europe. To this list eight new species were added which originated from different parts of the world: Ukraine (*L. holovachovi* Peneva, Sususlovsky & Lazarova, 2009), Slovenia (*L. carniolensis* Širca, Urek, Lazarova, Elshishka & Peneva, 2011), Iran (*L. kheirii* Pedram, Niknam, Robbins, Ye & Karegar, 2008 and *L. tabrizicus* Niknam, Pedram, Ghahremani Nejad, Ye, Robbins & Tanha Maafi, 2010), Philippines (*L. mindanaoensis* Coomans, Tandingan De Ley, Angsinco Jimenez & De Ley, 2012 and Spain (*L. baeticus* Gutiérrez- Gutiérrez, Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, Monte-Borrego, Palomares-Rius & Castillo, 2013, *L. oleae* Gutiérrez- Gutiérrez, Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, Monte-Borrego, Palomares-Rius & Castillo, 2013 and *L. andalusicus* Gutiérrez- Gutiérrez, Cantalapiedra-Navarrete, Monte-Borrego, Palomares-Rius & Castillo, 2013). Currently, there are 158 *Longidorus* species and an updated list of the species belonging to this important plant parasitic genus is presented as Appendix 1.

Molecular approaches and phylogenetic studies provide additional tools to the routine identification of plant parasitic nematodes. Further, the ribosomal DNA sequences represent a useful diagnostic approach in the characterisation and phylogenetic reconstruction within Longidoridae, above all, where morphological characters may lead to ambiguous identification (De Luca et al. 2004, 2009, Neilson et al. 2004, He et al. 2005, Palomares-Rius et al. 2008, 2010).

During a study of the longidorid fauna of natural habitats in Bulgaria (2005–2009) several populations of the genus *Longidorus* were recovered from various locations in the Rila Mountains, one of which represented an undescribed species.

The aim of the present study was to characterise morphologically and molecularly this new species and to infer its phylogenetic relationships with other species of the genus *Longidorus* by using the D2-D3 expansion domains of the 28S rDNA and the ITS containing region.

**Materials and methods**

Nematodes were isolated from soil samples by a decanting and sieving technique. *Longidorus* specimens recovered were heat killed at 55°C for two minutes, fixed in a 4%

formalin/1% glycerol mixture, processed to anhydrous glycerol (Seinhorst 1959), and mounted on glass microscope slides. Drawings were prepared using an Olympus BX51 compound microscope with differential interference contrast (DIC). Photographs were taken using an Axio Imager.M2-Carl Zeiss compound microscope equipped with a digital camera (ProgRes C7) and specialised software (CapturePro Software 2.8). Measurements were made using an Olympus BX41 light microscope, a digitising tablet (CalComp Drawing Board III, GTCO CalCom Peripherals, Scottsdale, AZ, USA), and computer Digitrak 1.0f programme, (Philip Smith, Scottish Crop Research Institute, Dundee, UK).

A partial polytomous keys was prepared for the identification of *Longidorus* species with long odontostyle (A45) and short tail (H1). This key, based on that by Chen et al. (1997), but incorporating newly described species after 1997 and the addition of some new characters: J – number of juvenile stages – J1 – 4 stages; J2 – 3 stages; K – shape of tail in J1 – using the same codes as for female tail and introducing K7 – tail digitate or with mucro.

### DNA extraction and amplification

Specimens for molecular analysis were kept in DESS solution (Yoder et al. 2006). Genomic DNA was extracted from fifteen individual nematodes as described by De Luca et al. (2004). The crude DNA isolated from each individual nematode was directly amplified. The partial 18S-ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 regions were amplified using the forward primer 18S-Ext (5'-TGATTACGTCCCTGCCTTT-3') and the reverse primer 26S-Ext (5'-TTTCACTCGCCGTTACTAAGG-3') (Vrain et al. 1992) and the D2-D3 expansion segments of 28S rDNA was amplified using the D2A (5'-ACAAGTACCGTGAGGGAAAGTTG-3') and D3B (5'-TCGGAAGGAACCAGCTACTA-3') primers (Castillo et al. 2003). PCR cycling conditions used for amplification were: an initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 50s, annealing at 55°C for 50s and extension at 72°C for 1 min and a final step at 72°C for 7 min. The size of amplification products was determined by comparison with the molecular weight marker ladder 100 (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany) following electrophoresis of 10 ml on a 1% agarose gel.

### Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

PCR products of the ITS region from two individual nematodes were purified for cloning and sequencing using the protocol provided by the manufacturer (High Pure PCR elution kit, Roche, Germany). Purified ITS fragments were cloned in TA cloning vector (Invitrogen) and several clones were sequenced using an ABI Prism 377 sequencer (PE Applied Biosystem, Foster City, CA). Similarly, the D2-D3 regions of rDNA from two individual nematodes were purified and used for direct sequencing.

The sequences of the new species have been deposited in GenBank with the accession numbers: FR775757 – FR775760 for the ITS clones; and FR775761, FR775762 for the D2-D3 regions. Additionally, another four sequences (ITS and D2-D3) belonging to a population identified as *Longidorus* cf. *caespiticola* Hooper, 1961 were produced and deposited using the same methodology (see Table 1 for accession numbers and locality). The morphometrics of this population and detailed discussion will be presented in another publication.

Further, a BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) search at NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) was performed using the obtained ITS and D2-D3 sequences as queries to confirm their nematode origins and to identify the most closely related nematode sequences. Different *Longidorus* species were used in the phylogenetic analyses of ITS1-5.8S-ITS2 and D2-D3 regions due to sequence availability in the GenBank database (Table 1). The multiple sequence alignments (MSA) of both datasets were performed using MAFFT algorithm (Kato et al. 2002) with GUIDANCE Web-based program available at <http://guidance.tau.ac.il/> (Penn et al. 2010a). The MSA reliability evaluation was based on GUIDANCE alignment, sequence and columns scores (Penn et al. 2010b). Unreliable columns below 0.93 confidence score were removed from the D2-D3 MSA alignment. Subsequently, the MSAs were manually optimised and trimmed using MEGA 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). *Xiphinema diversicaudatum* (Micoletzky, 1927) Thorne, 1939, *X. index* Thorne & Allen, 1950 and *X. insigne* Loos, 1949 were used as out group taxa for both D2-D3 and ITS sequence datasets, respectively.

Base compositional differences were evaluated using the  $\chi^2$ -test. Sequence divergences (uncorrected  $p$  distance) were calculated using MEGA 5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011). The phylogenetic reconstructions of both D2-D3 and partial 18S-ITS1 rDNA datasets were performed using neighbor joining (NJ) and maximum likelihood (ML) algorithms as implemented in MEGA 5.0 (Tamura et al. 2011) as well as the Bayesian inference (BI) using MrBayes v. 3.2.1 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001, Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003, Ronquist et al. 2012). The *NJ phylogenetic inferences* were performed under the following settings: Maximum Composite Likelihood method for computing evolutionary distances; Gamma distributed rates among sites, estimated values set up to 0.3395 (D2-D3) and 0.1127 (18S-ITS1); 2000 bootstrap replications. A total of 640 and 290 positions in the final datasets were used for both analyses, respectively. The most appropriate substitution models were determined using the FindModel web tool (Tao et al. 2005, Posada and Crandall 1998), by comparing the Akaike information criterion (AIC, Akaike 1973) and Maximum Likelihood value ( $\ln L$ ) scores of the 28 possible models. *ML analyses* settings as applied in MEGA 5 were General Time Reversible model (GTR), Gamma distribution (G); number of discrete Gamma rates equal to 4; 1000 bootstrap replications for D2-D3 rDNA and Kimura 2 parameter-model (+G, 4 rates and 1000 bootstrap replications) for 18S-ITS1 region. Bayesian MCMC tree searches were conducted using MrBayes 3.2.1. For each analysis, two independent runs were conducted with 4 chains each and default heating parameters (1 cold, 3 heated,  $temp = 0.2$ ). Each analysis was run for 10,000,000 generations

**Table 1.** Species of fam. Longidoridae used in phylogenetic reconstructions.

| Nematode species                                                                            | Locality                   | Accession number      | Reference                                      |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| <i>L. caespiticola</i> Hooper, 1961                                                         | Brdo, Slovenia             | HM447030              | Širca and Urek 2009                            |
| <i>L. caespiticola</i>                                                                      | Brussegem, Belgium         | AF480079              | Rubtsova et al. 2001                           |
| <i>L. caespiticola</i>                                                                      | Gandesbergen, Germany      | AF480080              | Rubtsova et al. 2001                           |
| <i>L. caespiticola</i>                                                                      | Viermaal, Belgium          | AF480081              | Rubtsova et al. 2001                           |
| <i>L. caespiticola</i>                                                                      | Scotland, UK               | AY601567              | He et al. 2005                                 |
| <i>L.cf caespiticola</i>                                                                    | Sokolovo, Bulgaria         | HG329719–HG329721     | Present study                                  |
| <i>L. carniolensis</i>                                                                      | Krmačina, Slovenia         | JN631811              | Širca et al. 2011                              |
| <i>L. carniolensis</i>                                                                      | Drašiči, Slovenia          | JN631812              | Širca et al. 2011                              |
| <i>L. cholevae</i> sp. n.                                                                   | Bulgaria                   | FR775757–FR775762     | Present study                                  |
| <i>L. elongatus</i> (de Man, 1876) Thorne & Swanger, 1936                                   | Scotland, UK               | AF511417              | Ye et al. 2004                                 |
| <i>L. helveticus</i> Lamberi, Kunz, Grunder, Molinari, De Luca, Agostinelli & Radicci, 2001 | Trška gora, Slovenia       | HM447031              | Širca and Urek 2009                            |
| <i>L. helveticus</i>                                                                        | Stari Ledinci, Serbia      | EF538753<br>JN627412  | Kumari et al. 2009<br>Kumari and Subbotin 2012 |
| <i>L. helveticus</i>                                                                        | Camenzuid, Switzerland     | AY601566              | He et al. 2005                                 |
| <i>L. helveticus</i>                                                                        | Chodovlice, Czech Republic | JN627410,<br>JN627414 | Kumari and Subbotin 2012                       |
| <i>L. helveticus</i>                                                                        | Silničná, Czech Republic   | JN627411,<br>JN627415 | Kumari and Subbotin 2012                       |
| <i>L. helveticus</i>                                                                        | Switzerland                | AJ549985              | De Luca et al. 2004                            |
| <i>L. macrosoma</i> Hooper, 1961                                                            | Liège, Belgium             | AF480082              | Rubtsova et al. 2001                           |
| <i>L. macrosoma</i>                                                                         | Austria                    | EF538752              | Kumari et al. 2009                             |
| <i>L. macrosoma</i>                                                                         | unknown                    | AY580055              | unpublished                                    |
| <i>L. macrosoma</i>                                                                         | Switzerland                | AY601565              | He et al. 2005                                 |
| <i>L. macrosoma</i>                                                                         | Switzerland                | AJ549978,<br>AJ549979 | De Luca et al. 2004                            |
| <i>L. macrosoma</i>                                                                         | unknown                    | AY430184              | unpublished                                    |
| <i>L. pius</i> Barsi & Lamberti, 2000                                                       | Republic of Macedonia      | AM743178–AM743184     | Barsi and De Luca 2008                         |
| <i>L. poessneckensis</i> Altherr, 1974                                                      | Czech Republic             | EF538750              | Kumari et al. 2009                             |
| <i>L. poessneckensis</i>                                                                    | Slovakia                   | EF538751              | Kumari et al. 2009                             |
| <i>L. raskii</i> Lamberti & Agostinelli, 1993                                               | Switzerland                | AJ549983,<br>AJ549984 | De Luca et al. 2004                            |
| <i>L. diadecturus</i> Eveleigh & Allen, 1982                                                | Elkins, White river, USA   | AY601584              | He et al. 2005                                 |
| <i>X. diversicaudatum</i> (Micoletzky, 1927) Thorne, 1939                                   | Slovakia                   | EF538755              | Kumari et al. 2009                             |
| <i>Xiphinema index</i> Thorne & Allen, 1950                                                 | Argentina                  | AY601628              | He et al. 2005                                 |
| <i>Xiphinema insigne</i> Loos, 1949                                                         | Taiwan                     | AY563427              | Chen et al. 2004                               |

with a sample frequency of 1000 generations. The first 25% of the chains discarded as burning and the remaining 75% trees kept to summarise the tree topology, branch lengths, and posterior probabilities (PP) of branch support. The evolutionary models for nucleotide substitutions were set up as for ML analyses. Convergence diagnostic calculated every 1000 generations with predefined stopvalue equal to 0.01. A single strict consensus tree was visualised using FigTree v1.4.0 graphical viewer (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>). Posterior probabilities values of  $\geq 0.8$  (BI) and bootstrap values of  $\geq 70$  (NJ and ML) were considered as credible support values for nodes.

## Taxonomy

### *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n.

<http://zoobank.org/882B3067-D244-4B8F-9312-B6E0F55B6C90>

[http://species-id.net/wiki/Longidorus\\_cholevae](http://species-id.net/wiki/Longidorus_cholevae)

Figures 1–9

**Measurements.** See Table 2

**Description.** *Female.* Body plump, assuming a C to open spiral shape. Lip region continuous, anteriorly rounded. Labial papillae prominent. Cuticle 8–10  $\mu\text{m}$  thick at poslabial region, 5–7  $\mu\text{m}$  along the body and 12–14  $\mu\text{m}$  on tail posterior to anus. Guide ring 6–7  $\mu\text{m}$  wide. One lateral pore anterior to guide ring, 2–4 along odontostyle, 1–2 along odontophore, 4–5 in narrow part of the oesophagus and 3–4 in bulb region as well as 3–5 dorsal and 7–10 ventral; numerous lateral body pores observed. Amphidial fovea pouch like, short, almost as wide as long, funnel shape with code E5 according to Chen et al. (1997) and type 4 according to Decraemer and Coomans (2007), amphidial aperture assumed to be a minute pore, hardly visible under light microscope; fusus (sensillum pouch) at  $51.6 \pm 2.7$  (49.5–56)  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=7$  from anterior end. Odontostyle slender, 2  $\mu\text{m}$  wide at base. Pharyngo-intestinal valve, variable in shape (broadly rounded to heart-shape) and size, slightly wider than long:  $19 \pm 1.4$  (17–20)  $\times$   $15.4 \pm 3.1$  (12–19)  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=5$ . Normal arrangement of pharyngeal glands: nuclei of the dorsal and subventral glands situated at 23.6–32.1 % ( $n=3$ ) and 50.7–58.9 % ( $n=8$ ) of the distance from anterior end of the bulb. Dorsal gland nuclei 2  $\mu\text{m}$  diam., subventral gland nuclei 3–4  $\mu\text{m}$  diam. Nerve ring surrounding odontophore base, at  $222.9 \pm 11.3$  (203–242.5)  $\mu\text{m}$  from anterior end, a second nerve ring situated at a short distance behind the first one. Lateral chord 25–29  $\mu\text{m}$  wide. Vagina extending to *ca.* half corresponding body width. *Pars distalis vaginae* 23–27  $\mu\text{m}$  long; *pars proximalis vaginae* 28–35  $\mu\text{m}$  long, thick walled. Uteri very long, anterior uterus  $481.0 \pm 105.1$  (372.5–662.5), posterior uterus  $473.2 \pm 114.2$  (357.5–660)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, respectively; well developed sphincter between uterus and *pars dilatata oviductus*, *pars dilatata* and uteri usually containing numerous sperm cells. Prerectum  $426.9 \pm 79.7$  (310–595)  $\mu\text{m}$  long, rectum  $45.5 \pm 1.6$  (43–48)  $\mu\text{m}$  or about 0.7–0.8 of body diameter at anus. Tail bluntly conoid, rounded to hemispherical. Two pairs of lateral pores.

**Table 2.** Measurements of females, males and juvenile stages of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. from Bachevo village. All measurements are given in  $\mu\text{m}$  (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, with range in parentheses).

| –                              | Holo- | Females                           | Males                             | J1                            | J2                            | J3                                | J4                                |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| n                              | type  | 11                                | 11                                | 9                             | 8                             | 9                                 | 11                                |
| L                              | 7199  | 6788 $\pm$ 573<br>(6127–8083)     | 6390 $\pm$ 594<br>(5415–7111)     | 1209 $\pm$ 63<br>(1135–1289)  | 1874 $\pm$ 236<br>(1554–2251) | 3048 $\pm$ 406<br>(2336–3447)     | 4798 $\pm$ 442<br>(4148–5666)     |
| a                              | 83.3  | 72.1 $\pm$ 7.4<br>(61.1–83.3)     | 70.2 $\pm$ 6.2<br>(63.9–82.0)     | 47.0 $\pm$ 1.9<br>(43.8–50.3) | 51.1 $\pm$ 2.4<br>(49.0–55.3) | 56.5 $\pm$ 3.8<br>(50.2–61.3)     | 63.8 $\pm$ 5.9<br>(54.8–76.6)     |
| b                              | 13.1  | 14.3 $\pm$ 1.5<br>(12.3–17.9)     | 12.7 $\pm$ 1.2<br>(10.7–14.7)     | 4.5 $\pm$ 0.4<br>(3.9–5.1)    | 5.8 $\pm$ 0.9<br>(4.5–7.2)    | 7.9 $\pm$ 0.9<br>(7.2–9.9)        | 10.9 $\pm$ 1.5<br>(9.2–14.1)      |
| c                              | 202.4 | 199.7 $\pm$ 15.4<br>(171.2–220.4) | 199.6 $\pm$ 18.3<br>(171.1–227.8) | 29.6 $\pm$ 3.8<br>(26.1–36.6) | 48.2 $\pm$ 3.6<br>(43.2–53.9) | 78.3 $\pm$ 7.2<br>(66.1–91.3)     | 136.5 $\pm$ 19.9<br>(115.5–181.1) |
| c'                             | 0.6   | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.06<br>(0.5–0.7)       | 0.6 $\pm$ 0.06<br>(0.6–0.8)       | 2.1 $\pm$ 0.19<br>(1.8–2.4)   | 1.4 $\pm$ 0.1<br>(1.2–1.5)    | 0.9 $\pm$ 0.07<br>(0.8–1.0)       | 0.7 $\pm$ 0.06<br>(0.6–0.8)       |
| V (%)                          | 52.5  | 50.5 $\pm$ 2.2<br>(46.7–53.4)     | -                                 | -                             | -                             | -                                 | -                                 |
| G <sub>1</sub> (%)             | 13.0  | 14.0 $\pm$ 2.8<br>(8.6–17.7)      | -                                 | -                             | -                             | -                                 | -                                 |
| G <sub>2</sub> (%)             | 11.5  | 14.2 $\pm$ 1.6<br>(11.6–17.1)     | -                                 | -                             | -                             | -                                 | -                                 |
| d                              | 1.3   | 1.3 $\pm$ 0.04<br>(1.2–1.4)       | 1.3 $\pm$ 0.04<br>(1.3–1.4)       | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.08<br>(1.6–1.8)   | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.09<br>(1.5–1.7)   | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.11<br>(1.4–1.7)       | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.08<br>(1.3–1.5)       |
| d'                             | 1.5   | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.06<br>(1.4–1.6)       | 1.5 $\pm$ 0.03<br>(1.4–1.6)       | 1.6 $\pm$ 0.07<br>(1.5–1.7)   | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.1<br>(1.6–1.8)    | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.1<br>(1.5–1.8)        | 1.7 $\pm$ 0.08<br>(1.6–1.9)       |
| Odontostyle                    | 121   | 120.1 $\pm$ 7.2<br>(106–129)      | 121.2 $\pm$ 5.1<br>(115–131)      | 61.1 $\pm$ 3.5<br>(56–66)     | 65.9 $\pm$ 2.8<br>(62–71)     | 84.7 $\pm$ 3.3<br>(79–90)         | 99.1 $\pm$ 5.3<br>(88–105)        |
| Replacement odontostyle        | -     | -                                 | -                                 | 65.0 $\pm$ 1.8<br>(61–67)     | 78.1 $\pm$ 4.3<br>(74–86.5)   | 101.4 $\pm$ 4.5<br>(96–109)       | 117.5 $\pm$ 7.9<br>(105.5–131)    |
| Developing gonads              | -     | -                                 | -                                 | 19.9 $\pm$ 3.2<br>(16–25)     | 28.3 $\pm$ 3.2<br>(24–34)     | 53.1 $\pm$ 8.7<br>(41–65)         | 135.5 $\pm$ 11.4<br>(114–147)     |
| Odontophore                    | 88    | 76.3 $\pm$ 3.3<br>(74–81)         | 73.7 $\pm$ 5.0<br>(69.5–81)       | 41.7 $\pm$ 5.4<br>(36–48)     | 48.6 $\pm$ 3.3<br>(42–52)     | 62.6 $\pm$ 2.5<br>(60–66)         | 71.1 $\pm$ 3.6<br>(67–79)         |
| Pharynx                        | 550   | 481.9 $\pm$ 47.8<br>(439–577)     | 507.0 $\pm$ 45.6<br>(421–584)     | 273.6 $\pm$ 19.3<br>(250–302) | 318.4 $\pm$ 23.6<br>(277–349) | 398.1 $\pm$ 47.7<br>(311.5–450.5) | 445.7 $\pm$ 38.9<br>(362–491)     |
| Anterior to guiding ring       | 36    | 32.6 $\pm$ 2.21<br>(30–37)        | 33.5 $\pm$ 1.1<br>(32–36)         | 16.2 $\pm$ 0.7<br>(15–18)     | 19.3 $\pm$ 0.74<br>(18–20)    | 24.2 $\pm$ 1.6<br>(22.5–27)       | 28.4 $\pm$ 1.4<br>(25.5–31)       |
| Bulb length                    | 139   | 128 $\pm$ 12.5<br>(114.5–146.5)   | 124 $\pm$ 7.2<br>(115–137)        | 60.7 $\pm$ 5.1<br>(53–66)     | 72.5 $\pm$ 8.9<br>(65–90)     | 100.7 $\pm$ 5.6<br>(92–108)       | 116.8 $\pm$ 9.4<br>(105–128)      |
| Bulb width                     | 34    | 34.1 $\pm$ 2.9<br>(30–38)         | 33.0 $\pm$ 3.3<br>(28–38)         | 15 $\pm$ 1.2<br>(14–17)       | 20.2 $\pm$ 2.0<br>(18–23)     | 26.3 $\pm$ 1.2<br>(24–27)         | 29.8 $\pm$ 2.5<br>(26–34)         |
| Tail                           | 35.5  | 34.1 $\pm$ 2.9<br>(28.5–38)       | 32.2 $\pm$ 3.3<br>(29–39)         | 41.3 $\pm$ 4.8<br>(35–48.5)   | 38.8 $\pm$ 2.8<br>(34–43)     | 38.9 $\pm$ 3.3<br>(34–44.5)       | 35.4 $\pm$ 2.6<br>(31–38)         |
| Length of hyaline part         | 19    | 18.1 $\pm$ 1.10<br>(17–20)        | 14.5 $\pm$ 2.2<br>(12–18)         | 10.9 $\pm$ 1.8<br>(9–14)      | 11.8 $\pm$ 2.09<br>(9–15)     | 13.9 $\pm$ 1.6<br>(12–17)         | 14.5 $\pm$ 1.4<br>(12–16.5)       |
| Body diameter at: - lip region | 22.5  | 22.8 $\pm$ 0.8<br>(21.5–24)       | 23.0 $\pm$ 0.7<br>(22–24)         | 9.5 $\pm$ 0.30<br>(9–10)      | 12.0 $\pm$ 0.6<br>(11–13)     | 15.5 $\pm$ 1.2<br>(14–17)         | 19.5 $\pm$ 1.0<br>(18–21)         |
| - guiding ring                 | 39    | 37.5 $\pm$ 2.5<br>(35–43)         | 37.7 $\pm$ 1.7<br>(34–40)         | 15.1 $\pm$ 0.32<br>(14–15.5)  | 20.1 $\pm$ 1.09<br>(18–22)    | 26.2 $\pm$ 1.8<br>(23.5–28.3)     | 33.2 $\pm$ 1.7<br>(30–35.5)       |
| - base of pharynx              | 74.5  | 75.9 $\pm$ 8.4<br>(69–100)        | 77.5 $\pm$ 8.8<br>(66–90.5)       | 26.3 $\pm$ 2.0<br>(24–30)     | 35.2 $\pm$ 2.8<br>(31–38)     | 49.0 $\pm$ 4.60<br>(41–53)        | 63.4 $\pm$ 5.2<br>(57–77)         |
| - mid-body/at vulva            | 86    | 93.7 $\pm$ 9.1<br>(83–106)        | 91.7 $\pm$ 11.5<br>(73–111)       | 25.9 $\pm$ 2.1<br>(23–29.5)   | 36.6 $\pm$ 3.8<br>(31–41)     | 54.1 $\pm$ 7.2<br>(43.5–68)       | 75.6 $\pm$ 8.5<br>(66–94)         |
| - anus                         | 57    | 54.8 $\pm$ 4.4<br>(48–66)         | 52.4 $\pm$ 4.0<br>(46–58)         | 19.5 $\pm$ 1.2<br>(18–22)     | 28.7 $\pm$ 2.3<br>(25–31)     | 42.8 $\pm$ 4.3<br>(36–48)         | 52.2 $\pm$ 2.5<br>(47–56)         |
| - hyaline part                 | 47    | 42.9 $\pm$ 3.8<br>(37–48)         | 36.0 $\pm$ 4.0<br>(27–42)         | 10.9 $\pm$ 1.77<br>(9–14)     | 17.9 $\pm$ 2.4<br>(14.5–21)   | 29.0 $\pm$ 3.4<br>(25–37)         | 37.2 $\pm$ 3.0<br>(33–42)         |
| Spicules                       | -     |                                   | 105.9 $\pm$ 6.9<br>(96–120)       |                               |                               |                                   |                                   |




**Figure 1.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. *Female*: **A** Anterior end **F** Habitus **I** Pharyngeal bulb **J** Anterior genital branch *Male*: **G** Habitus **H** Pharyngeal bulb *Juveniles*: **B–E** Habitus of first-, second-, third- and fourth-stage juveniles. Scale-bars: **A, H, I, J** 50 µm; **B–G** 1 mm.




**Figure 2.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. *Female*: **A** Anterior end **B** Lip region/amphidial fovea **F–H** Variations in vagina shape; *Male*: **C** Anterior end **D** Lip region/amphidial fovea **E** Sperms. Scale-bars: **A–H** 50 µm.




**Figure 3.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Female: **A–C** Variations in tail shape; Male: **D, E** Tail ends with spicules **F** Lateral piece. Scale-bars: 50 µm.

*Male.* Habitus as in females, posterior part more strongly coiled ventrad. Shape of lip region similar to that in females. Cuticle 5–8 µm thick at poslabial region, 7–9 at guiding ring level, 4–6 µm along the body and 9–13 µm on tail posterior to cloaca.

One lateral pore anterior to guide ring, 2–3 along odontostyle, 1–2 along odontophore, 3–5 in narrow part of the oesophagus and 3–4 in pharyngeal bulb region as 4 dorsal and 7–10 ventral; numerous lateral body pores present. Fusus at  $52.3 \pm 3.7$  (47–57)  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=7$  from anterior end. Nerve ring surrounding odontophore base, at  $231.8 \pm 12.2$  (217.5–259.5)  $\mu\text{m}$  from anterior end, a second nerve ring situated at a short distance behind the first one. Pharyngo-intestinal valve, variable in shape (broadly rounded to heart-shape) and size, almost as long as wide:  $16.6 \pm 3.2$  (13–23)  $\times$   $18 \pm 3.1$  (13–22)  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=6$ . Lateral chord 20–25  $\mu\text{m}$  wide. Supplements 3–4 adanal pairs followed by 10–14 arranged irregularly in a single row. Spicules massive, slightly curved ventrally, lateral guiding piece 27–28  $\mu\text{m}$  long. Spermatozooids round small (4–6  $\mu\text{m}$  diam.). Tail short, bluntly conoid, dorsally convex, ventrally slightly concave, three pairs of lateral pores.

*Juveniles.* Morphometrics obtained from juvenile specimens, and of the relationship between the lengths of their functional and replacement odontostyles and body lengths, confirmed the presence of four juvenile stages (Figure 9). Habitus in the shape of more or less open C, tail of the first stage juvenile conoid elongated whereas in the subsequent developmental stages the tail is conoid (second stage) to bluntly conoid (third and fourth stage).

**Type locality and plant association.** Bachevo village, Rila Mountains, co-ordinates 41°56'14.97"N, 23°25'15.02"E, 1032 m asl, riparian vegetation; soil around the roots of wild cherry (*Prunus avium* L.), *Juniperus communis* L., *Urtica dioica* L. and grasses.

**Type material.** Holotype and 1 paratype females, 2 males, and 23 juveniles deposited in the nematode collection of the Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research, Sofia, Bulgaria. Other paratypes deposited as follows: two females, one male and 8 juveniles in the Nematode collection of the Food and Environment Research Agency, Sand Hutton, UK (former Rothamsted Nematode Collection); one female, one male and 6 juveniles in the USDA Nematode Collection, Beltsville, Maryland, USA; one female, one male and 8 juveniles in the Riverside Nematode Collection, University of California, Riverside, USA; one female, one male and 5 juveniles in the Nematode Collection of the Institute of Plant Protection, Bari, Italy; one female, one male and 12 juveniles in the Wageningen Nematode Collection (WANECO), Wageningen, the Netherlands.

**Diagnosis and relationships.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. is a comparatively large bisexual species (6.1–8.1 mm) with odontostyle over 100  $\mu\text{m}$  (106–129  $\mu\text{m}$ ) long, lip region wide (21.5–24  $\mu\text{m}$ ), continuous, anteriorly rounded, amphidial fovea pouch like, almost as wide as long, posteriorly situated guide ring, short, bluntly rounded to hemispherical tail and normal arrangement of pharyngeal glands.

The alpha-numeric codes for *L. cholevae* sp. n. to be applied to the polytomic identification key for *Longidorus* species by Chen et al. (1997) are, A45, B4, C23, D1, E5, F34, G12, H1, I2. The group of comparatively large *Longidorus* species (code F34) with a long odontostyle (code A45), pouch like amphidial fovea, elongate funnel (E4) or short (funnel or stirrup shaped (E5), normal arrangement of pharyngeal glands and short rounded tail (code H1) consists of a few species: *L. poessneckensis*, *L. caespiticola*, *L. macrosoma*, *L. helveticus*, *L. carniolensis*, *L. macroteromucronatus* Altherr, 1974, *L.*



**Figure 4.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Female: **A** Anterior region of holotype **B** Head end **C** Amphidial fovea of holotype **D** Sphincter between uterus and *pars dilatata* oviductus **E** Caudal pores **F** Pharyngeal bulb **G**, **H** Variations in vagina shape; **I–K** Variations in tail shape. Scale bars: **A**, **F** 40 µm; **E** 20 µm; **B–D**, **G–K** 30 µm.

*pseudoelongatus* Altherr, 1976, *L. pius*. It differs from all these species except for *L. caespiticola* and *L. pseudoelongatus*, by the more anteriorly situated guide ring (ave. 32.6 (30–37) vs ave. 40 (36–43) µm in *L. poessneckensis*; 37–48 µm in *L. macrosoma*;



**Figure 5.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Male: **A** Lip region **B, C** Amphidial fovea, **B** upper view **C**, lower view **D** Part of testis with radial muscles **E** Genital system of a young male **F** Tail, **G** Spicule **H** Lateral field **I** Protracted spicule. Scale bars: **A-D, F-I** 30 µm; **E** 40 µm.

37.5–48 µm in *L. helveticus*; 42–47 µm in *L. carniolensis*; 38 µm in *L. macroteromucronatus* and ave. 38.7 (35–41) µm in *L. pius*). Among the above group the new species appears most similar to *L. poessneckensis* from which it differs by adult specimens




**Figure 6.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Anterior region of: **A–D** First-fourth juvenile stage **F** Female **G** Male. Scale-bar: 50  $\mu$ m.

having different shape of amphidial pouches (almost as long as wide *vs* visibly longer than wide), males abundant *vs* males rare and different tail shape in first stage juveniles (elongate conoid with narrowly tapering terminus *vs* elongate conoid with bluntly rounded terminus) (Sturhan and Loof 2001, Kumari et al. 2009, Lišková and Kumari 2010, Kornobis and Peneva 2011). Further, it can be differentiated from:

*L. caespiticola* by females having wider (21.5–24 *vs* 16–18  $\mu$ m) and differently shaped lip region (rounded *vs* smoothly rounded, almost conical), shorter tail (28.5–38 *vs* 39–47  $\mu$ m) and longer spicules (96–120 *vs* 88.5–93  $\mu$ m), and tail in first stage juveniles (elongate conoid *vs* bluntly conoid) (Boag and Brown 1975);

*L. macrosoma* by female specimens having a somewhat shorter body (L= ave. 6.8 mm (6.1–8.1) *vs* ave. 9.1 mm (6.8–12), differently shaped lip region (rounded *vs* slightly concave) and differently shaped tail of the first stage juvenile (elongated conoid *vs* digitate) (Brown and Boag 1975);

*L. helveticus* by females having different shape of amphidial fovea (almost rounded *vs* elongated), shorter odontostyle (ave. 120.1 (106–129)  $\mu$ m *vs* ave. 135.4 (127–145.5) in the type population and reported range for other populations 127–142  $\mu$ m, differently shaped tail in first stage juvenile (elongated conoid *vs* mucronated) and shorter hyaline portion of tail (J=10–14 *vs* J=17.5–33  $\mu$ m) (Lamberti et al. 2001, Barsi and De Luca 2005, Širca and Urek 2009, Kumari and Subbotin 2012);

*L. carniolensis* – by having a shorter odontostyle (106–129 *vs* 136–157  $\mu$ m); males with shorter spicules (96–120 *vs* 122–145  $\mu$ m); different tail shape in first stage juvenile (elongate conoid *vs* rounded, conoidal,  $c'=1.8$ –2.2 *vs*  $c'=1.2$ –1.5) (Širca et al. 2011);




**Figure 7.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Variations in tail shape: **A–D** Tail of first-fourth juvenile stage **E** Female Scale-bar: 50  $\mu$ m.

- L. macroteromucronatus* – by females having wider lip region (21.5–24 *vs* 17.5  $\mu$ m (calculated from the drawing by Altherr (1974), shorter odontostyle (106–129 *vs* 133  $\mu$ m) and higher **c** values (**c**=171.2–220.4 *vs* **c**=160);
- L. pius* - by different **d** and **d'** values (following Brown et al. 1994) (**d**= 1.2–1.4 *vs* **d**=1.7–1.9; **d'**= 1.4–1.6 *vs* **d'**=1.9–2.1), shorter odontostyle (ave. 120.1 (106–129 *vs* ave. 136.5 and 137.5 (128–147.5)  $\mu$ m), shorter tail (28.5–38 *vs* 37–46.5  $\mu$ m), higher **c** value (**c**=171.2–220.4 *vs* **c**=114.6–166.5) in females; males abundant *vs* males rare, and different tail shape in first stage juveniles (elongate conoid *vs* sub-digitate, J=10–14 *vs* J=15–20  $\mu$ m) (Barsi and Lamberti 2001, Barsi and De Luca 2008). Although in the original description the code for amphidial fovea shape is D1, but in the photos it appears more like that in the new species;
- L. pseudoelongatus* – by having a longer body (L=6.1–8.1 *vs* L=5.1–5.6 mm), differently shaped (continious *vs* separated by constriction) and wider lip region (21.5–24 *vs* 12  $\mu$ m), higher **c** (**c**=171.2–220.4 *vs* **c**=100–150) and lower **c'** values (**c'**=0.5–0.7 *vs* **c'**=0.93) (Altherr 1976).

Further, *L. cholevae* sp. n. is similar in body and odontostyle lengths (codes F34 and A45), and shape of anterior region and tail (codes D1 and H1) with a group of several other species from which it differs in amphidial fovea shape (see Appendix 2: a partial polytomous key): *L. kheirii*, *L. raskii* Lamberi & Agostinelli, 1993, *L. arthensis*




**Figure 8.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Juveniles: **A–D** Head ends of first- to fourth-stages **F–I** Tail end of first- to fourth-stages *Female*: **E** Anterior end **J** Tail. Scale-bar: 30  $\mu$ m.




**Figure 9.** *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. Scatter plot of the functional (●, juveniles and adults, females in orange) and replacement (○, juveniles) odontostyle in relation to body length of the juvenile developmental stages and adults.

Brown, Grunder, Hooper, Klingler & Kunz, 1994, *L. fasciatus* Roca & Lamberti, 1981, *L. uroshis* Krnjaić, Lamberti, Krnjaić, Agostinelli & Radicci, 2000, *L. silvae* Roca, 1993, *L. iuglandis* Roca, Lamberti & Agostinelli, 1984, *L. saginus* Khan, Seshardi, Weischer & Mathen, 1971, *L. picenus* Roca, Lamberti & Agostinelli, 1984, *L. baeticus*). The new species can be distinguished from *L. raskii*, *L. arthensis*, *L. fasciatus*, *L. uroshis*, *L. silvae*, *L. picenus* and *L. baeticus* by its wider lip region (21.5–24  $\mu\text{m}$  vs 15–19  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 14–19  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 12–14  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 14–20.5; 14–17  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 14–16  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 19–22  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 12–14.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ); from *L. raskii*, *L. uroshis* and *L. saginus* by having different odontostyle length (106–129  $\mu\text{m}$  vs 76–103  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 120–152  $\mu\text{m}$  and 135–155  $\mu\text{m}$ ); from *L. kheirii*, *L. raskii*, *L. arthensis* and *L. uroshis* by the shorter tail (28.5–38 vs 47–72  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 36–50  $\mu\text{m}$ ; 36–46.5  $\mu\text{m}$  and 38–57  $\mu\text{m}$ ); from *L. kheirii*, *L. silvae* and *L. picenus* by having more anteriorly situated guide ring (30–37 vs 36.5–45  $\mu\text{m}$ , 36–48  $\mu\text{m}$  and 37–42  $\mu\text{m}$ ). Additionally, it can be differentiated from:

- L. kheirii* by females having differently shaped lip region (rounded vs slightly concave), higher *c* value (171.2–220.4 vs 119–167.8), smaller pharyngeal bulb (114.5–146.5  $\times$  30–38 vs 149.5–193.5  $\times$  39.5–48  $\mu\text{m}$ ), males abundant, functional vs rare and not functional, differently shaped tail of the first stage juvenile as well as different morphometrics concerning the main characters such as body and tail length, functional and replacement odontostyle length (Table 1; Table 2 in Pedram et al. 2008).
- L. raskii* by females having different tail shape in first stage juveniles (elongate conoid vs bluntly conoid); (Lamberti et al. 2001, Krnjaić et al. 2002, Barsi and De Luca 2005);
- L. arthensis* by females having lower *c'* value (*c'*=0.5–0.7 vs *c'*=0.8–1.1 and 0.9–1.1); males with longer spicules (96–120 vs 60–66  $\mu\text{m}$ ); different tail shape in first stage juveniles (elongate conoid vs digitate) (Brown et al. 1994, Lamberti et al. 2001);
- L. fasciatus* by females having a more plump body (*a*=61.1–83.3 vs *a*=121–143) (Roca and Lamberti 1981);
- L. uroshis* by males with longer spicules (96–120 vs 59–72 and 64–78  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and different tail shape in first stage juveniles (elongate conoid vs digitated) (Krnjaić et al. 2000, Krnjaić et al. 2002, Sturhan and Lišková 2002);
- L. silvae* by female specimens having differently shaped lip region (rounded vs subacute and flattened anteriorly) and tail of the first and second stage juvenile (elongated conoid vs mucronated; conoid vs bluntly rounded, respectively), and males abundant vs males rare (Roca 1993, Barsi and Lamberti 2004, Barsi et al. 2007).
- L. iuglandis* by having longer uteri (357.5–662.5 vs 140–160  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and differently shaped tail in the first stage juvenile (elongate conoid vs bluntly rounded) (Roca et al. 1984);
- L. saginus* by having a longer body (*L*=6.1–8.1 vs 4.8–6.4 mm); lower *c'* value (*c'*"=0.5–0.7 vs *c'*"=0.8); more posteriorly situated vulva (*V*=46.7–53.4 vs *V*=40–45) (Khan et al. 1971);
- L. picenus* by having, differently shaped tail in the first stage juvenile (elongate conoid vs mucronated) (Roca et al. 1985);
- L. baeticus* by males having longer spicules (96–120 vs 80–95  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and differently shaped tail in the first stage juvenile (elongate conoid vs bluntly rounded to cylindrical).

**Etymology.** The species is named after Dr Boryana Choleva, Faculty of Biology, University of Sofia, retired, for her substantial contribution to the knowledge of the fam. Longidoridae in Bulgaria.

### Phylogenetic relationships of *Longidorus cholevae* with other *Longidorus* species

The amplification of D2-D3 expansion domains of the 28S rDNA and the ITS containing region yielded single fragments of 800 bp and 1384 bp, respectively, based on sequencing. The ITS1 and ITS2 sizes were 579 bp and 338 bp, respectively that resulted in the shortest ITS recorded for *Longidorus* so far. Intra-individual and intra-population sequence variability in ITS and no variability in D2D3 domains have been observed.

A BLAST search for D2-D3 region showed a 80-93% degree of similarity among *Longidorus* spp. suggesting that *L. cholevae* can be easily identified from other species by using this ribosomal region. The closest species were *L. poessneckensis* (93% similarity), *L. caespiticola*, *L. macrosoma* and *L. helveticus* (92% similarity). Pairwise BLAST comparisons of the ITS sequence of *L. cholevae* with those of *Longidorus* spp. from the database displayed high nucleotide dissimilarity and considerable variation in length.

Our preliminary phylogenetic analyses based on all the D2-D3 *Longidorus* sequences deposited in NCBI revealed that the new species clusters into a well-supported group of *Longidorus* species having a European distribution: *L. caespiticola*, *L. macrosoma*, *L. poessneckensis*, *L. helveticus* and *L. carniolensis* (trees not presented). The monophyly of this group has been highly supported also in other studies, including SSU phylogenetic analyses (Robbins et al. 2009, Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez et al. 2013). All these are large species, very similar in their morphology having long odontostyles, elongated or short not bilobed pouch-like amphidial fovea, continuous head region, short bluntly conoid to almost hemisphaercial tail, mainly amphimictic (only with *L. macrosoma* and *L. poessneckensis* males are rare). *Longidorus caespiticola* and *L. macrosoma* occur mainly in western Europe including the British Isles, *L. poessneckensis* was reported from central (Germany, Slovakia and Czech Republik) and northern Europe (Poland); the first two species were found in association with a wide range of crops and forest trees (Brown and Boag 1975, Boag and Brown 1975); *L. poessneckensis* with preference to flood plains and hill deciduous forest habitat (Lišková and Kumari 2010) and *L. helveticus* associated with deciduous forest and orchard threes in central Europe (Lamberti et al. 2001, Širca and Urek 2009, Kumari and Subbotin 2012). *Longidorus carniolensis* is known only from Slovenia (grapevine) and *L. cholevae* sp. n. - only from Bulgaria (riparian vegetation). Probably, *L. pius*, known so far only from Macedonia and having similar morphology, is part of this group, however, no sequences of D2-D3 region are available.

Further, for phylogenetic analysis *Longidorus* species from GenBank with the highest match of BLAST search were aligned along with *L. cholevae* D2-D3 and partial 18S-ITS1 sequences and these alignments included sequences from various populations (Table1).




**Figure 10.** Phylogenetic relationships of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. and its closest species for the D2-D3 rDNA. Bayesian Inference strict consensus tree acquired under GTR+G model. Numbers at the nodes indicating posterior probabilities higher than 0.8 and bootstrap values more than 70% for ML and NJ are presented.

The trees obtained by NJ, ML and BI methods showed similar topology and differed in the position of poorly supported clades, and thus only the BI trees with posterior probabilities higher than 0.8 and bootstrap values above 70% (NJ and ML) are presented (Figs 10–11).

The phylogenetic tree of the D2-D3 region (Fig. 10) showed two well-supported clades: Clade I consists of three subclades: two highly supported subclades containing various populations of I1) *L. helveticus* and I2) *L. macrosoma*, and one subclade having lower values for ML bootstrap support (52%) and BI posterior probabilities (0.72) I3) that includes the new species *L. cholevae*, two populations of *L. carniolensis* from




**Figure 11.** Phylogenetic relationships of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. and its closest species for the partial 18S-ITS1 rDNA regions. Bayesian Inference strict consensus tree acquired under K2+G model. Numbers at the nodes indicating posterior probabilities higher than 0.8 and bootstrap values more than 70% for ML and NJ are presented.

Slovenia and two populations of *L. poessneckensis* from the Czech Republic and Slovakia. The second clade (II) consists of two well-supported subclades: II1) consisted of *L. caespiticola* from Slovenia and Belgium and one *L. cf. caespiticola* from Bulgaria and subclade II2) consisted of three populations of *L. caespiticola* from Scotland, Belgium and Germany. It is possible that these populations represent two different species that requires further investigation.

The phylogenetic reconstructions of the partial 18S-ITS1 region revealed more unstable groups due to the shorter sequence length and higher sequence variability. Three of the *Longidorus* spp. belonging to the above mentioned group (*L. cf. caespiticola*, *L. helveticus* and *L. macrosoma*) and two additional species (*L. pius* and *L. raskii*) originating from Macedonia and Switzerland have been separated from other ITS1 *Longidorus* sequences (the tree not presented) and further analysed (Fig. 11). Three clades were distinguished, two well supported clades consisting of: 1) *Longidorus macrosoma*, *L. helveticus* and *L. pius* and 2) *Longidorus cf. caespiticola* and *L. raskii*, and one not well resolved 3) containing only *L. cholevae* sp. n. The species forming these clades have similar tail shape in first stage juveniles: digitate in clade 1, bluntly conoidal in clade 2, elongate conoidal in clade 3.

## Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the National Science Fund grants: No B-1405/04, CEBDER (DO 02-15) and BioCORE (INI 03/01.08.2005) projects and the project ANIDIV, funded by the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences. Mutual visits of authors have been supported by a mobility program between the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences and the Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (Bilateral Collaboration Project between BAS and CNR).

## References

- Akaike H (1973) Information theory as an extension of the maximum likelihood principle. In: Petrov BN, Csaki F (Eds) Second International Symposium on Information Theory. Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 276–281.
- Altherr E (1974) Nématodes de la nappe phréatique du réseau fluvial de la Saale (Thuringee) II. Limnologica 9: 81–132.
- Altherr E (1976) La faune des eaux profondes interstitielles de la région de Wiesbaden. Bull Soc Vaud Sc Nat 349, 73: 97–116.
- Andrássy I (2009) Free-living nematodes of Hungary (Nematoda Errantia). Pedozoologica Hungarica, Budapest, 608 pp.
- Barsi L, De Luca F (2005) Morphological and molecular characterization of *Longidorus helveticus* (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) from Serbia. Nematologia mediterranea 33: 41–49.
- Barsi L, De Luca F (2008) Morphological and molecular characterization of *Longidorus pius* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from the Republic of Macedonia. Nematology 10: 63–68. doi: 10.1163/156854108783360069
- Barsi L, Lamberti F (2001) *Longidorus pius* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Macedonia. Nematologia mediterranea 29: 207–213.
- Barsi L, Lamberti F (2004) *Longidorus silvae* Roca, 1993 (Nematoda: Longidoridae): a first record from the former territory of Yugoslavia and the description of a male specimen. Russian Journal of Nematology 12: 97–105.

- Barsi L, Lamberti F, De Luca F (2006) Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Longidorus elongatus* (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) from Serbia and first record of *L. raskii* from Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 14: 31–41.
- Barsi L, Lamberti F, De Luca F (2007) Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Longidorus danuvii* sp. n. and *L. silvae* Roca, 1993 (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) from Serbia. *Nematology* 9 (4): 585–598. doi: 10.1163/156854107781487279
- Boag B, Brown DJF (1975) *L. caespiticola* C.I.H. Descriptions of plant parasitic nematodes Set 5, No 63.
- Brown DJF, Boag B (1975) *L. macrosoma* C.I.H. Descriptions of plant parasitic nematodes Set 5, No 67.
- Brown DJF, Gruner J, Hooper DJ, Klingler J, Kunz P (1994) *Longidorus arthensis* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae), a vector of cherry rosette disease caused by a new nepovirus in cherry trees in Switzerland. *Nematologica* 40: 133–149. doi: 10.1163/003525994X00094
- Castillo P, Vovlas N, Subbotin S, Troccoli A (2003) A new root-knot nematode, *Meloidogyne baetica* sp. n. (Nematoda: Heteroderidae) parasitizing wild olive in Southern Spain. *Phytopathology* 93: 1093–1102. doi: 10.1094/PHYTO.2003.93.9.1093
- Chen DY, Ni HF, Yen JH, Cheng YH, Tsay TT (2004) Variability within *Xiphinema insigne* populations in Taiwan. *Plant Pathology Bulletin* 13: 127–142.
- Chen Q, Hooper DJ, Loof PAA, Xu J (1997) A revised polytomous key for the identification of the genus *Longidorus* Micoletzky, 1922 (Nematoda: Dorylaimoidea). *Fundamental and Applied Nematology* 20: 15–28.
- Coomans A, Tandingan De Ley I, Angsinco Jimenez L, De Ley P (2012) Morphological, molecular characterisation and phylogenetic position of *Longidorus mindanaoensis* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from a Philippine *Avicennia* mangrove habitat. *Nematology* 14: 285–307. doi: 10.1163/156854111X594974
- Decraemer W, Coomans A (2007) Revision of some species of the genus *Paralongidorus sensu* Siddiqi et al. (1993), with a discussion on the relationships within the family Longidoridae (Nematoda: Dorylaimida). *Nematology* 9: 643–662. doi: 10.1163/156854107782024776
- De Luca F, Reyes A, Gruner J, Kunz P, Agostinelli A, De Giorgi C, Lamberti F (2004) Characterization and sequence variation in the rDNA region of six nematode species of the genus *Longidorus* (Nematoda). *Journal of Nematology* 36: 147–152.
- De Luca F, Landa BB, Mifsud D, Troccoli A, Vovlas N, Castillo P (2009) Molecular characterisation of *Longidorus kuiperi* Brinkman, Loof & Barbez, 1987 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from the Mediterranean Basin. *Nematology* 11: 155–160. doi: 10.1163/156854108X398534
- Gutiérrez- Gutiérrez C, Cantalapiedra-Navarrete C, Monte-Borrego M, Palomares-Rius JE, Castillo P (2013) Molecular phylogeny of the nematode genus *Longidorus* (Nematoda: Longidoridae) with description of three new species. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 167: 473–500. doi: 10.1111/zoj.12019
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Serial* 41: 95–98.
- He Y, Subbotin S, Rubtsova TV, Lamberti F, Brown DJF, Moens M (2005) A molecular phylogenetic approach to Longidoridae (Nematoda: Dorylaimida). *Nematology* 7: 111–124. doi: 10.1163/1568541054192108

- Huelsenbeck JP, Ronquist F (2001) MRBAYES: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics* 17:754–755. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.8.754
- Khan E, Seshardi AR, Weischer B, Mathen K (1971) Five new nematode species associated with coconut in Kerala, India. *Indian Journal of Nematology* 1: 116–127.
- Katoh K, Misawa K, Kuma K, Miyata T (2002) MAFFT: A novel method for rapid multiple sequence alignment based on fast Fourier transform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 30: 3059–3066. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkf436
- Kornobis FW, Peneva V (2011) *Longidorus piceicola* Lišková et al., 1997 and *L. poessneckensis* Altherr, 1974 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) - new records from Poland with first description of *L. poessneckensis* male and a bivulval female. *Systematic Parasitology* 80: 205–216. doi: 10.1007/s11230-011-9325-8
- Krnjaic D, Lamberti F, Krnjaic S, Agostinelli A, Radicci V (2000) Three new longidorids (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) from Montenegro. *Nematologia mediterranea* 28: 235–248.
- Krnjaic D, Lamberti F, Krnjaic S, Agostinelli A, Radicci V (2002) Longidoridae (Nematoda) occurring in Topchiger park of Belgrade, with description of *Paralongidorus serbicus* sp.n. *Nematologia mediterranea* 30: 185–200.
- Krnjaic D, Roca F, Krnjaic S, Agostinelli A (2005) *Longidorus cylindricapitatus* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidorida) from Serbia. *Nematology* 7: 803–808. doi: 10.1163/156854105776186280
- Kumari S, Decraemer W, Lišková M (2009) Molecular and morphological delineation of *Longidorus poessneckensis* Altherr, 1974 (Nematoda: Dorylaimida). *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 123: 125–137. doi: 10.1007/s10658-008-9348-4
- Kumari S, Subbotin SA (2012) Characterization of *Longidorus helveticus* (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from the Czech Republic. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* 133: 923–933. doi: 10.1007/s10658-012-9959-7
- Lamberti F, Kunz P, Grunder J, Molinari S, De Luca F, Agostinelli A, Radicci V (2001) Molecular characterisation of six *Longidorus* species with the description of *Longidorus helveticus* sp. n. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida). *Nematologia mediterranea* 29: 181–205.
- Lamberti F, Molinari S, De Luca F, Agostinelli A, Di Vito M (1999) Longidorids (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) from Syria with a description of *Longidorus pauli* sp. n. and *Paralongidorus halepensis* sp. n. with sod isozymes and PCR RLFP profiles. *Nematologia mediterranea* 29: 181–205.
- Lišková M, Kumari S (2010) The notes on the occurrence of *Longidorus poessneckensis* Altherr, 1974 (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) in the Slovak Republic. *Helminthologia* 47: 264–268. doi: 10.2478/s11687-010-0041-8
- Loof PAA, Chen Q (1999) A revised polytomous key for the identification of species of the genus *Longidorus* Micoletzky, 1922. Supplement 1. (Nematoda: Dorylaimida). *Nematology* 1: 55–59. doi: 10.1163/156854199507974
- Neilson R, Ye W, Oliveira CMG, Hübschen J, Robbins RT, Brown DJF, Szalanski AL (2004) Phylogenetic relationships of Longidoridae species (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) from North America inferred from the 18S rDNA sequence data. *Helminthologia* 41: 209–215.
- Niknam G, Pedram M, Ghahremani Nejad E, Ye W, Robbins RT, Tanha Maafi Z (2010) Morphological and molecular characterisation of *Longidorus tabrizicus* sp. n. and *L. sturhani* Rubtsova, Subbotin, Brown and Moens, 2001 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from north-western Iran. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 14: 127–140.

- Palomares-Rius JE, Subbotin SA, Landa BB, Vovlas N, Castillo P (2008) Description and molecular characterisation of *Paralongidorus litoralis* sp. n. and *P. paramaximus* Heyns, 1965 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Spain. *Nematology* 10: 87–101. doi: 10.1163/156854108783360186
- Palomares-Rius JE, Landa BB, Tanha Maafi Z, Hunt DJ, Castillo P (2010) Comparative morphometrics and ribosomal DNA sequence analysis of *Longidorus orientalis* Loof, 1983 (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Spain and Iran. *Nematology* 12: 631–640. doi: 10.1163/138855410X12628646276041
- Pedram M, Niknam G, Robbins RT, Ye W, Karegar A (2008) *Longidorus kheirii* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Iran. *Systematic Parasitology* 71: 199–211. doi: 10.1007/s11230-008-9148-4
- Peneva V, Sususlovsky A, Lazarova S (2009) Description of *Longidorus holovachovi* sp. n. (Nematoda: Dorylaimida) and *Xiphinema* sp., a member of *Xiphinema americanum* group from Ukraine. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 17: 115–126.
- Penn O, Privman E, Ashkenazy H, Landan G, Graur D, Pupko T (2010a) GUIDANCE: a web server for assessing alignment confidence scores. *Nucleic Acids Research* 38 (Web Server issue): W23–W28. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkq443
- Penn O, Privman E, Landan G, Graur D, Pupko T (2010b) An alignment confidence score capturing robustness to guide-tree uncertainty. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 27: 1759–1767. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msq066
- Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics* 14 (9): 817–818. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.817
- Robbins RT, Ye W, Pedram M (2009) *Longidorus ferrisi* sp. n. from California Citrus. *Journal of Nematology* 41: 104–110.
- Roca F (1993) *Longidorus silvae* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Italy. *Nematologia mediterranea* 16: 211–214.
- Roca F (2006) On the identity of two *Paralongidorus* species (Nematoda, Longidoridae) described from Montenegro and Serbia. *Nematology* 8: 781–785. doi: 10.1163/156854106778877893
- Roca F, Lamberti F (1981) *Longidorus fasciatus* sp. n. from Greece and Italy. *Nematologia mediterranea* 9: 175–179.
- Roca F, Lamberti F, Agostinelli A (1985) Three new species of *Longidorus* (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) from Italy. *Nematologia mediterranea* 12: 187–200.
- Ronquist F, Huelsenbeck JP (2003) MRBAYES 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19: 1572–1574. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian Phylogenetic Inference and Model Choice Across a Large Model Space. *Systematic Biology* 61: 539–542. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/sys029
- Rubtsova TV, Subbotin SA, Brown DJF, Moens M (2001) Description of *Longidorus sturhani* sp. n. (Nematoda: Longidoridae) and molecular characterisation of several longidorid species from western Europe. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 9: 127–136.

- Seinhorst W (1959) A rapid method for the transfer of nematodes from fixative to anhydrous glycerin. *Nematologica* 4: 67–69. doi: 10.1163/187529259X00381
- Širca S, Urek G (2009) Morphological and molecular characterization of six *Longidorus* species (Nematoda: Longidoridae) from Slovenia. *Russian Journal of Nematology* 17 (2): 95–105.
- Širca S, Urek G, Lazarova S, Elshishka M, Peneva V (2011) *Longidorus carniolensis* sp. n. (Nematoda, Longidoridae) from vineyard soil in Slovenia. *ZooKeys* 141: 1–27. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.141.1906
- Sturhan D, Argo D (1983) Studies on *Longidorus closelongatus* Stoyanov and *L. cohni* Heyns, with a description of *L. proximus* sp. nov. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida). *Revue de Nématologie* 6: 57–64.
- Sturhan D, Lišková M (2002) *Longidorus uroshis* Krnjaić et al., 2000 (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) in the Slovak Republic. *Helminthologia* 39: 233–236.
- Sturhan D, Loof PAA (2001) Redescription of *Longidorus poessneckensis* Altherr, 1974 (Nematoda: Dorylaimida). *Russian Journal of Nematology* 9: 43–49.
- Tamura K, Peterson D, Peterson N, Stecher G, Nei M, Kumar S (2011) MEGA5: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using Maximum Likelihood, Evolutionary Distance, and Maximum Parsimony methods. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28: 2731–2739. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Tao N, Bruno WJ, Abfalterer W, Moret BME, Leitner T, Kuiken C (2005) FINDMODEL: A Tool to Select the Best-fit Model of Nucleotide Substitution. <http://hcv.lanl.gov/content/sequence/findmodel/findmodel.html>
- Thompson J D, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ (1994) Clustal W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, positions-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Research* 22: 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
- Vrain TC, Wakarchuk DA, Levesque A, Hamiltonr I (1992) Intraspecific DNA restriction fragment length polymorphism in the *Xiphinema americanum* group. *Fundamental and Applied Nematology* 15: 563–573.
- Ye W, Szalanski AL, Robbins RT (2004) Phylogenetic relationships and genetic variation in *Longidorus* and *Xiphinema* species (Nematoda: Longidoridae) using ITS1 sequences of nuclear ribosomal DNA. *Journal of Nematology* 36: 14–19.
- Yoder M, Tandingan De Ley I, King IWm, Mundo-Ocampo M, Mann J, Blaxter M, Poiras L, De Ley P (2006) DESS: A versatile solution for preserving morphology and extractable DNA of nematodes. *Nematology* 8: 367–376. doi: 10.1163/156854106778493448

## Appendix 1

List of the species of the genus *Longidorus* (doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750.app1) File format: Microsoft Word Document (doc).

**Explanation note:** List of the species of the genus *Longidorus* Micoletzky, 1922.

**Copyright notice:** This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

---

**Citation:** Peneva VK, Lazarova SS, De Luca F, Brown DJF (2013) Description of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) from a riparian habitat in the Rila Mountains, Bulgaria. ZooKeys 330: 1–26. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750  
List of the species of the genus *Longidorus*. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750.app1

---

## Appendix 2

A partial polytomous key to the species of *Longidorus* (doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750.app2) File format: Microsoft Word Document (doc).

**Explanation note:** A partial polytomous key to the species of *Longidorus* with long odontostyle (A45) and short tail (H1) based on the key by Chen et al. (1997) incorporating species described after 1997 and those transferred from other genera, see Appendix 1.

**Copyright notice:** This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

---

**Citation:** Peneva VK, Lazarova SS, De Luca F, Brown DJF (2013) Description of *Longidorus cholevae* sp. n. (Nematoda, Dorylaimida) from a riparian habitat in the Rila Mountains, Bulgaria. ZooKeys 330: 1–26. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750 A partial polytomous key to the species of *Longidorus*. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.330.5750.app2

---